## Concord Quarterly Meeting Tuition Endowment Fund

Support for our families and our schools

CQM Treasurer, March 2013

## Brief History

- 20+ years of investment
- Records hard to reconstruct
- Which MM gave how much and when
- (Totals are known)
- Contributions eased 2+ years ago
* Not completely implemented - some meetings kept giving
- Allocation of funds not transparent
- Covenants given to CQM divided between operating and TEF without clear formulae to meetings


## Current Status

- Significant capital providing $\$ 6 \mathrm{k}+/ \mathrm{yr}$ interest
- \$130,000+ at Friends Fiduciary
- Grants proceeding using "current system"
- All interest and all CQM covenant surplus (above $\$ 100 / \mathrm{MM}$ )
- Approx $\$ 13,000 / y r$ in TOF
- 201I School year - 25 students $\$ 530$ each
- 2012 School year - 24 students $\$ 575$ each
- CQM seeking clarity on Tuition Fund Operations


## Possible Investment and Spending

- How much to increase capital?
- Can come from interest, covenants, directed giving
- Currently \$0 (for last 2 yrs)
- Can range up to all interest, all covenants, and all giving
- How much to grant?
- Can come from capital, interest, covenants, directed giving
- Currently approx. \$13,000/yr
- (no capital, all interest, all covenants, no recent gifts)
- Results in approx. \$500/student/yr


## Possible Support Priorities/Goals

- Help everyone?
- Provide some gift to every applicant
- Provide the SAME gift to every applicant
- Help the families in most need?
- Decide who gets how much, each year
- Demonstrate Quarterly Meeting community?
- Meetings with no recipients still contribute


## Possible Financial Priorities/Goals

- Maximize gifts?
- Make the largest impact to families/schools possible
- Maximize growth?
- Focus on increasing the capital, even if grants remain small
- Make it sustainable?
- Protect and grow capital to avoid erosion of impact
- Must account for inflation and average tuition increase to make the same percentage impact per year


## Complications

- Some schools reduce financial aid, if other sources exist
- Gift from CQM doesn't change the families' financial burdens, but makes it possible for school to help others
- TEF Capital now accounted per MM
- Better transparency
- Possible that a MM might claim ownership, or separate rules/priorities, or opt out
- No committee or framework for candidate selection
- Administered by treasurer equally to all applicants
- Requires new committee if a selection process is introduced


## Option 0: Discontinue Fund

- Details
- Return capital to each MM (after 2013 grants)
- Results
- How much to increase capital? None.
- How much to grant? None.
- Help everyone? No
- Demonstrate Quarterly Meeting community? No
, Maximize gifts? No.
- Maximize growth? No.
- Make it sustainable? No.


## Option 1: No contributions, no growth

- Details
- No contributions
- Spend all interest on grants
- Results
- How much to increase capital? None.
- How much to grant? All (Approx. \$300/student)
- Help everyone? Equally
- Demonstrate Quarterly Meeting community? Yes.
- Maximize gifts? Yes, for now (until inflation reduces effect)
- Maximize growth? No.
- Make it sustainable? No. Effect reduces due to inflation


## Option 2: No contributions, sustain

- Details
- No contributions
- Reinvest portion of interest in capital, based on inflation
- Results
- How much to increase capital? Reinvest, based on inflation
- How much to grant? Remainder: approx $\$ 200 /$ student
- Help everyone? Equally
- Demonstrate Quarterly Meeting community? Yes.
, Maximize gifts? No.
- Maximize growth? No.
- Make it sustainable? Yes, but does not meet tuition increases


## Option 3: No contributions, tuition rate

- Details
- No contributions
- Reinvest portion of interest in capital, based on avg. tuition rise
- Results
- How much to increase capital? Reinvest, based on tuition rise
- How much to grant? Remainder: approx \$130/student
- Help everyone? Equally
- Demonstrate Quarterly Meeting community? Yes.
- Maximize gifts? No.
- Maximize growth? No.
- Make it sustainable? Yes, but does not become more relevant


## Option 4: Same Contributions, Reinvest

- Details
, Current level of contributions to capital, not TOF
- Reinvest portion of interest in capital, based on avg. tuition rise
- Results
- How much to increase capital? Reinvest $3.5 \%$ and addI $00 \%$ of contributions
- How much to grant? \$130 first year, \$140 second
- Help everyone? Equally
- Demonstrate Quarterly Meeting community? Yes.
- Maximize gifts? No.
- Maximize growth? Yes, \$7000/yr adds 5\% to capital, above tuition rise
- Make it sustainable? Yes. Investments greater than tuition rise


## Option 5: Same Contributions, Split

- Details
- Current level of contributions split between capital and TOF
- Reinvest portion of interest in capital, based on avg. tuition rise
- Results
- How much to increase capital? Reinvest $3.5 \%$ and add $50 \%$ of contributions
- How much to grant? \$270 first year, \$278 second (3\% rise)
- Help everyone? Equally
- Demonstrate Quarterly Meeting community? Yes.
- Maximize gifts? No. Balance gift with capital investment
- Maximize growth? No. Balance gift with capital investment
- Make it sustainable? Yes. Investments greater than tuition rise


## Option 6: Increase Contributions, Split

- Details
- Increase level of contributions from \$7,000 to \$13,000
- Reinvest portion of interest in capital, based on avg. tuition rise
- Results
- How much to increase capital? Reinvest $3.5 \%$ and contribute $2.5 \%$ of capital ( $6 \%$ increase in capital per year)
- How much to grant? \$520 first year, \$530 second ( $2 \%$ rise)
- Help everyone? Equally
- Demonstrate Quarterly Meeting community? Yes.
- Maximize gifts? Yes. Increase contribution to current level of gift
- Maximize growth? No. Balance gift with capital investment
- Make it sustainable? Yes. Investments greater than tuition rise


## Option 7: Increase Contributions, Invest

- Details
- Increase level of contributions from \$7,000 to \$10,000
- Reinvest portion of interest in capital, based on avg. tuition rise
- Results
- How much to increase capital? Reinvest $3.5 \%$ and contribute 100\% of contributions
- How much to grant? \$130 first year, \$144 second (I0\% rise)
- Help everyone? Equally
- Demonstrate Quarterly Meeting community? Yes.
- Maximize gifts? No.
, Maximize growth? Yes.
- Make it sustainable? Yes. Investments greater than tuition rise


## Options Summary

| Option: | 1 |  | 3 | 4 |  | 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | No Cont. No Growth |  | No <br> Cont. <br> Tuition <br> Rate | Same Cont. Reinvest | Same Cont. Split | Increase Cont. Split | Increase Cont. Invest |
| Reinvest Rate | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.5\% | 3.5\% | 3.5\% | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |
| Contribution | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$7,000 | \$7,000 | \$13,000 | \$10,000 |
| Cont to TEF | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$7,000 | \$3,500 | \$3,250 | \$10,000 |
| Cont to TOF | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$3,500 | \$9,750 | \$- |
| Grant | \$312 | \$208 | \$130 | \$130 | \$270 | \$520 | \$130 |
| Cap Growth | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.5\% | 8.9\% | 6.2\% | 6.0\% | 11.2\% |
| Grant Growth | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.5\% | 8.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.0\% | 11.2\% |

## Variables for Decision

- Reinvestment rate
- How much interest to add to capital?
- Contributions
- How much money each year is added to Fund?
- Contribution Investment Rate
- How much of the contributions to add to capital?
- Recipient Selection
- Who should receive grants? (The fewer, the larger)
- (Who chooses and how?)
- Significance
- How significant should the grants be w.r.t. tuition?


## Recommendations

- Continue program
- Make it sustainable
- At least $3.5 \%$ growth in capital to meet tuition rise
- Discern goals, tune rest of program to fit
- Help a few or help many?
- Help a little or a lot?
- Help the families or the schools or both?

